TWISTED ALEXANDER POLYNOMIALS WITH THE ADJOINT ACTION FOR SOME CLASSES OF KNOTS

ANH T. TRAN

ABSTRACT. This paper studies the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action associated to $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of a knot group for some classes of knots. We explicitly calculate the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action for torus knots and twist knots. As consequences of these calculations, we obtain the formula of the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion for torus knots in [Du] and a nicer formula of the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion for twist knots than the one in [DHY].

1. Introduction

The Alexander polynomial, the first polynomial knot invariant, was introduced by Alexander in 1928 [Al]. It was later interpreted in terms of Reidemeister torsions by Milnor [Mi] and Turaev [Tu]. The twisted Alexander polynomial, which is a generalization of the Alexander polynomial, was introduced by Lin [Li] for knots in the 3-sphere and by Wada [Wa] for finitely presentable groups. It was also interpreted in terms of Reidemeister torsions by Kitano [Ki] and Kirk and Livingston [KL]. As a consequence of this interpretation, one can calculate certain kinds of Reidemeister torsions of a knot from a finite presentation of its knot group by using Fox's differential calculus.

This paper studies the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action associated to $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of a knot group. The adjoint action, denoted by Ad, is the conjugation on the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ by elements in the Lie group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose K is a knot and G_K its knot group. For each representation ρ of G_K into $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, the composition $\mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho$ is a representation of G_K into $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ and hence, following [Wa], one can define a rational function $\Delta_{K,\mathrm{Ad}\circ\rho}(t)$, called the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action associated to the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation ρ . The twisted Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{K,\mathrm{Ad}\circ\rho}(t)$ has been calculated for a few knots with small number of crossings [DY]. The purpose of this paper is to calculate $\Delta_{K,\mathrm{Ad}\circ\rho}(t)$ for torus knots and twist knots, see Theorems 3.3 and 4.1. As consequences of these calculations, we obtain the formula of the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion for twist knots than the one in [DHY].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action associated to $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of a knot group and its relation to the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion. We explicitly calculate the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action and the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion for torus knots and twist knots in Sections 3 and 4 respectively.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 57M27.

Key words and phrases. twisted Alexander polynomial, Reidemeister torsion, adjoint action, representation, torus knot, twist knot.

- 2. The twisted Alexander Polynomial with the adjoint action
- 2.1. Twisted Alexander polynomials. Let K be a knot and $G_K = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ its knot group. We choose and fix a presentation

$$G_K = \langle a_1, \dots, a_\ell \mid r_1, \dots, r_{\ell-1} \rangle.$$

(This might not be a Wirtinger representation.)

Let $f: G_K \to H_1(S^3 \backslash K, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ be the abelianization homomorphism. Here we specify a generator t of $H_1(S^3 \backslash K; \mathbb{Z})$ and denote the sum in \mathbb{Z} multiplicatively. Let us consider a linear representation $\rho: G_K \to SL_k(\mathbb{C})$.

These maps naturally induce two ring homomorphisms $\widetilde{\rho}: \mathbb{Z}[G_K] \to \mathcal{M}(k, \mathbb{C})$ and $\widetilde{f}: \mathbb{Z}[G_K] \to \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$, where $\mathbb{Z}[G_K]$ is the group ring of G_K and $\mathcal{M}(k, \mathbb{C})$ is the matrix algebra of degree k over \mathbb{C} . Then $\widetilde{\rho} \otimes \widetilde{f}$ defines a ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[G_K] \to \mathcal{M}(k, \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$. Let F_ℓ denote the free group on generators a_1, \ldots, a_ℓ and $\Phi: \mathbb{Z}[F_\ell] \to \mathcal{M}(k, \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$ the composition of the surjection $\mathbb{Z}[F_\ell] \to \mathbb{Z}[G_K]$ induced by the presentation of G_K and the map $\widetilde{\rho} \otimes \widetilde{f}: \mathbb{Z}[G_K] \to \mathcal{M}(k, \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$.

Let us consider the $(\ell-1) \times \ell$ matrix M whose (i,j)-component is the $k \times k$ matrix

$$\Phi\left(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial a_j}\right) \in \mathcal{M}\left(k, \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]\right),\,$$

where $\partial/\partial a$ denotes Fox's differential calculus. For $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, let us denote by M_j the $(\ell-1) \times (\ell-1)$ matrix obtained from M by removing the jth column. We regard M_j as a $k(\ell-1) \times k(\ell-1)$ matrix with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Then Wada's twisted Alexander polynomial of a knot K associated to a representation $\rho: G_K \to SL_k(\mathbb{C})$ is defined to be a rational function

$$\Delta_{K,\rho}(t) = \frac{\det M_j}{\det \Phi(1 - a_j)}$$

and moreover well-defined up to a factor t^{km} $(m \in \mathbb{Z})$, see [Wa].

- 2.2. The twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action. The adjoint action, denoted by Ad, is the conjugation on the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ by elements in the Lie group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. For $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $g \in sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ we have $Ad_A(g) = AgA^{-1}$. For each representation ρ of G_K into $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, the composition $Ad \circ \rho$ is a representation of G_K into $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ and hence one can define the twisted Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{K,Ad\circ\rho}(t)$. We call $\Delta_{K,Ad\circ\rho}(t)$ the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action associated to the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation ρ .
- Remark 2.1. It is known that $\Delta_{K,Ado\rho}(t)$ coincides with the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion polynomial $\mathcal{T}_{K,\rho}(t)$ [Ki, KL]. As a consequence of this identification, one can calculate the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion $\mathbb{T}_{K,\rho}$ for any longitude-regular $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation ρ from a finite presentation of the knot group of K, by applying Fox's differential calculus and the following formula

$$\mathbb{T}_{K,\rho} = -\lim_{t \to 1} \frac{\mathcal{T}_{K,\rho}(t)}{t-1}$$

in [Ya]. For the detail definition of longitude-regularity, the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion polynomial $\mathcal{T}_{K,\rho}(t)$ and the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion $\mathbb{T}_{K,\rho}$ we refer to [Po, Du, DHY, DY].

In this paper we are interested in the calculation of the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action associated to non-abelian/irreducible $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representations.

3. Torus knots

In this section we will calculate the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action and the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion for torus knots.

Let K be the (p,q)-torus knot. The knot group of K is $G_K = \langle c,d \mid c^p = d^q \rangle$. Choose a pair (r,s) of natural numbers satisfying ps - qr = 1. Then $\mu = c^{-r}d^s$ is a meridian of K. Note that the abelian homomorphism $f: G_K \to H_1(S^3 \setminus K; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ sends c and d to t^q and t^p respectively.

A representation $\rho: G_K \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is called irreducible if there is no proper invariant line in \mathbb{C}^2 under the action of $\rho(G_K)$. Let $R^{irr}(G_K)$ be the set of irreducible $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ representations of G_K and \hat{R}^{irr} the set of conjugacy classes of representations in $R^{irr}(G_K)$. According to Johnson, we have the following description of $\hat{R}^{irr}(G_K)$.

Proposition 3.1 ([Jo]). $\hat{R}^{irr}(G_K)$ consists of (p-1)(q-1)/2 components, which are determined by the following data, denoted by $\hat{R}_{k,l}^{irr}(G_K)$:

- (1) 0 < k < p, 0 < l < q, and $k \equiv l \pmod{2}$.
- (2) For every $[\rho] \in \hat{R}_{k,l}^{irr}(G_K)$, we have $\rho(c^p) = \rho(d^q) = (-1)^k I$, $\operatorname{tr} \rho(c) = 2\cos\left(\frac{\pi k}{p}\right)$, $\operatorname{tr} \rho(d) = 2\cos\left(\frac{\pi l}{q}\right)$, and $\operatorname{tr} \rho(\mu) \neq 2\cos\pi\left(\frac{rk}{p} \pm \frac{sl}{q}\right)$.

In particular, $\hat{R}_{k,l}^{irr}(G_K)$ is parametrized by $\operatorname{tr} \rho(\mu)$ and has complex dimension one.

Remark 3.2. Proposition 3.1 implies that for every irreducible representation $\rho: G_K \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, the matrices $\rho(c)$ and $\rho(d)$ are conjugate to

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\frac{\pi k}{p}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{\pi k}{p}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\frac{\pi l}{q}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{\pi l}{q}} \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively, if the conjugacy class of ρ is contained in $\hat{R}_{k,l}^{irr}(G_K)$.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose $[\rho] \in \hat{R}_{k,l}^{irr}$. One has

$$\Delta_{K, \mathrm{Ado}\rho}(t) = \frac{(t^{pq} - 1)^3}{(t^p - 1)(t^q - 1)(t^{2q} - 2(\cos\frac{2\pi k}{p})t^q + 1)(t^{2p} - 2(\cos\frac{2\pi l}{q})t^p + 1)}.$$

Proof. By conjugation if necessary, we may assume that $\rho(c) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\rho(d)$ is conjugate to $\begin{bmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ where $\alpha = e^{i\frac{\pi k}{p}}$ and $\beta = e^{i\frac{\pi l}{q}}$.

Let $\{E, H, F\}$ be the following usual \mathbb{C} -basis of the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \ F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the adjoint actions of c and d in the basis $\{E, H, F\}$ of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ are given the matrices $C = \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(c)} = \mathrm{diag}(\alpha^2, 1, \alpha^{-2})$ and $D = \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(d)}$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{diag}(\beta^2, 1, \beta^{-2})$.

We have $\frac{\partial}{\partial c}c^pd^{-q}=1+c+\cdots+c^{p-1}$, and hence

$$\Delta_{K,\text{Ado}\rho}(t) = \frac{\det \Phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial c}c^{p}d^{-q})}{\det \Phi(d-1)}
= \frac{\det(I + t^{q}C + t^{2q}C^{2} + \dots + t^{(p-1)q}C^{p-1})}{\det(t^{p}D - I)}
= \frac{(1 + \alpha^{2}t^{q} + \dots + \alpha^{2(p-1)}t^{(p-1)q})(1 + \alpha^{-2}t^{q} + \dots + \alpha^{-2(p-1)}t^{(p-1)q})}{(t^{2p} - (\beta^{2} + \beta^{-2})t^{p} + 1)}
\times \frac{1 + t^{q} + \dots + t^{(p-1)q}}{t^{p} - 1}.$$

Since $\alpha^{2p} = 1$, we have

$$1 + \alpha^2 t^q + \dots + \alpha^{2(p-1)} t^{(p-1)q} = \frac{(\alpha^2 t^q)^p - 1}{\alpha^2 t^q - 1} = \frac{t^{pq} - 1}{\alpha^2 t^q - 1}.$$

Similarly,

$$1 + \alpha^{-2}t^q + \dots + \alpha^{-2(p-1)}t^{(p-1)q} = \frac{t^{pq} - 1}{\alpha^{-2}t^q - 1}.$$

Hence

$$\Delta_{K, \mathrm{Ado}\rho}(t) = \frac{(t^{pq} - 1)^3}{(t^p - 1)(t^q - 1)(t^{2q} - (\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2})t^q + 1)(t^{2p} - (\beta^2 + \beta^{-2})t^p + 1)}$$

The theorem follows since $\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2} = 2\cos(\frac{2\pi k}{p})$ and $\beta^2 + \beta^{-2} = 2\cos(\frac{2\pi l}{q})$.

Remark 3.4. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is similar to that of [KM, Theorem 1.1].

It is known that for a torus knot, any irreducible $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation ρ is longituderegular so that one can define the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion $\mathbb{T}_{K,\rho}$, see [Po, Du].

Corollary 3.5. Suppose $[\rho] \in \hat{R}_{k,l}^{irr}$. One has

$$\mathbb{T}_{K,\rho} = -\frac{p^2 q^2}{16\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi k}{p}\right)\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi l}{q}\right)}.$$

Proof. By [Ya, Theorem 3.1.2], we have $\mathbb{T}_{K,\rho} = -\lim_{t\to 1} \frac{\Delta_{K,\mathrm{Ado}\rho}(t)}{t-1}$. The corollary then follows from Proposition 3.3.

Remark 3.6. Corollary 3.5 was obtained in [Du, Section 6.2] by a different method. Note that our torsion is the inverse of the one in [Du].

4. Twist knots

In this section we will calculate the twisted Alexander polynomial with the adjoint action and the non-abelian Reidemeister torsion for twist knots.

Let K = J(2, 2n) be the twist knot in the notation of [HS]. The knot group of K is $G_K = \langle w^n a = b w^n \rangle$ where $w = b a^{-1} b^{-1} a$ and a, b are meridians. A representation $\rho: G_K \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is called non-abelian if $\rho(G_K)$ is a non-abelian subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Taking conjugation if necessary, we can assume that ρ has the form

(4.1)
$$\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{s} & 1/\sqrt{s} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{s} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{s} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{s} u & 1/\sqrt{s} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $(s, u) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the Riley equation $\phi_K(s, u) = 0$, see [Ri]. Let $\gamma = \operatorname{tr} \rho(w) = 2 + 2u - \frac{u}{s} - su + u^2$. Note that

$$\phi_K(s,u) = (s+s^{-1}-1-u)\frac{\xi_+^n - \xi_-^n}{\xi_+ - \xi_-} - \frac{\xi_+^{n-1} - \xi_-^{n-1}}{\xi_+ - \xi_-}$$

where ξ_{\pm} are eigenvalues of $\rho(w)$, i.e. $\xi_{+}\xi_{-}=1$ and $\xi_{+}+\xi_{-}=\gamma$, see [DHY].

Theorem 4.1. Suppose ρ is a non-abelian representation of the form (4.1). One has

$$\Delta_{K, Ado \rho}(t) = \frac{t - 1}{(y + 2 - x^2)(y^2 - yx^2 + x^2)} \left(nt^2 + \frac{(2n - 1)y^2 + yx^2 - 2nx^2(x^2 - 2)}{y^2 - yx^2 + 2x^2} t + n \right)$$

where $x = \operatorname{tr} \rho(a) = \operatorname{tr} \rho(b) = \sqrt{s} + 1/\sqrt{s} \text{ and } y = \operatorname{tr} \rho(ab^{-1}) = u + 2.$

Proof. Recall that $\{E, H, F\}$ be the following usual \mathbb{C} -basis of the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \ F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that the adjoint actions of a and b in the basis $\{E, H, F\}$ of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ are given the following matrices:

$$A = \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho(a)} = \begin{bmatrix} s & -2 & -s^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & s^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & s^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho(b)} = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 & 0 \\ su & 1 & 0 \\ -su^2 & -2u & s^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We focus on the case n > 0. The case n < 0 is similar. We have $\frac{\partial}{\partial a} w^n a w^{-n} b^{-1} = w^n (1 + (1-a)(1+w^{-1}+\cdots+w^{-(n-1)})(a^{-1}-a^{-1}b))$, and hence

$$\Delta_{K,\text{Ado}\rho}(t) = \frac{\det \Phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} w^n a w^{-n} b^{-1})}{\det \Phi(b-1)} \\
= \frac{\det (I + (I - tA)(I + W^{-1} + \dots + W^{-(n-1)})(t^{-1}A^{-1} - A^{-1}B))}{\det(tB - I)}$$

where $W = \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(w)}$.

Let $\Omega = I + W^{-1} + \dots + W^{-(n-1)}$. Then

$$\Delta_{K, Ado \rho}(t) = \frac{\det(I + (I - tA)\Omega(t^{-1}A^{-1} - A^{-1}B))}{\det(tB - I)}.$$

To calculate Ω , we need to diagonalize the $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ -matrix W.

The $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -matrix $\rho(w)$ can be diagonalized by

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} u+1-s^{-1} & u+1-s^{-1} \\ 1-su-\xi_{+} & 1-su-\xi_{-} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Explicitly, $Q^{-1}\rho(w)Q$ is the diagonal matrix diag (ξ_+,ξ_-) .

Set $\alpha = 1 - su - \xi_+$, $\beta = 1 - su - \xi_-$ and $\delta = u + 1 - s^{-1}$. With respect to the basis $\{E, H, F\}$ of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$, the matrix of the adjoint action of Q becomes as follows:

$$P = \operatorname{Ad}_{Q} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \begin{bmatrix} -\delta & 2\delta & \delta \\ \alpha & -(\alpha + \beta) & -\beta \\ \alpha^{2}/\delta & -2\alpha\beta/\delta & -\beta^{2}/\delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $P^{-1}WP$ is the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(\xi_+^2, 1, \xi_-^2)$, by direct calculations we have

$$\Omega = I + W^{-1} + \dots + W^{-(n-1)}
= P \operatorname{diag}(\xi_{-}^{n-1}X, n, \xi_{+}^{n-1}X) P^{-1}
(4.2) = \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^{2}} \begin{bmatrix}
-2\alpha\beta n + d_{5}X & 2\delta(-(\alpha + \beta)n + d_{3}X) & \delta^{2}(2n - d_{1}X) \\
\frac{\alpha\beta}{\delta}((\alpha + \beta)n - d_{3}X) & (\alpha + \beta)^{2}n - 2\alpha\beta d_{1}X & \delta(-(\alpha + \beta)n + d_{2}X) \\
(\frac{\alpha\beta}{\delta})^{2}(2n - d_{1}X) & \frac{2\alpha\beta}{\delta}((\alpha + \beta)n - d_{2}X) & -2\alpha\beta n + d_{4}X
\end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$d_{1} = \xi_{-}^{n-1} + \xi_{+}^{n-1}, \ d_{2} = \alpha \, \xi_{-}^{n-1} + \beta \, \xi_{+}^{n-1}, \ d_{3} = \alpha \, \xi_{+}^{n-1} + \beta \, \xi_{-}^{n-1},$$

$$d_{4} = \alpha^{2} \xi_{-}^{n-1} + \beta^{2} \xi_{+}^{n-1}, \ d_{5} = \alpha^{2} \xi_{+}^{n-1} + \beta^{2} \xi_{-}^{n-1},$$

$$X = \frac{\xi_{+}^{n} - \xi_{-}^{n}}{\xi_{+} - \xi_{-}}, \ Y = \frac{\xi_{+}^{n-1} - \xi_{-}^{n-1}}{\xi_{+} - \xi_{-}}.$$

Proposition 4.2. One has

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{s^2 u (1 - 2s + s^2 - su)(-4s + u - 2su + s^2 u - su^2)} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{11} & \omega_{12} & \omega_{13} \\ \omega_{21} & \omega_{22} & \omega_{23} \\ \omega_{31} & \omega_{32} & \omega_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \omega_{11} &=& s^2u\big\{(1-2s+s^2-su)(2-4s+2s^2+u-6su+s^2u-4s^3u\\ &&-su^2+3s^2u^2-s^3u^2+s^4u^2-s^3u^3)X^2-2ns(-1+s+su)^2\big\},\\ \omega_{12} &=& -2su(-1+s+su)\big\{(1-2s+s^2-su)(-1-3s^2+2su-s^2u+s^3u-s^2u^2)X^2\\ &&-ns(-1+2s+s^2+su)\big\},\\ \omega_{13} &=& -(-1+s+su)^2\big\{(1-2s+s^2-su)(-2s+u-su+s^2u-su^2)X^2-2ns^2\big\},\\ \omega_{21} &=& s^2u^2(-1+s+su)\big\{(1-2s+s^2-su)(-1-3s^2+2su-s^2u+s^3u-s^2u^2)X^2\\ &&-ns(-1+2s+s^2+su)\big\},\\ \omega_{22} &=& -su\big\{2(1-2s+s^2-su)(-1+s+su)^2(-2s+u-su+s^2u-su^2)X^2\\ &&-nsu(-1+2s+s^2+su)^2\big\},\\ \omega_{23} &=& -u(-1+s+su)\big\{(1-2s+s^2-su)(-1+s+su)\\ && (-3s+s^2+u-2su+s^2u-su^2)X^2-ns^2(-1+2s+s^2+su)\big\},\\ \omega_{31} &=& -s^2u^2(-1+s+su)\big\{(1-2s+s^2-su)(-2s+u-su+s^2u-su^2)X^2-2ns^2\big\},\\ \omega_{32} &=& 2su^2(-1+s+su)\big\{(1-2s+s^2-su)(-1+s+su)\\ && (-3s+s^2+u-2su+s^2u-su^2)X^2-ns^2(-1+2s+s^2+su)\big\},\\ \omega_{33} &=& u(-1+s+su)\big\{(1-2s+s^2-su)(-2s^2+2s^3+4su-9s^2u+3s^3u-u^2+4su^2-9s^2u^2+3s^3u^2+2su^3-4s^2u^3+s^3u^3-s^2u^4)X^2\\ && -2ns^3(-1+s+su)\big\}. \end{array}$$

Proof. We need the following lemma which can be checked easily.

Lemma 4.3. One has

$$d_{1} = 2X - \gamma Y,$$

$$d_{2} = (1 - su)(2X - \gamma Y) - \gamma X + (\gamma^{2} - 2)Y,$$

$$d_{3} = (1 - su)(2X - \gamma Y) - \gamma X + 2Y,$$

$$d_{4} = (1 - su)^{2}(2X - \gamma Y) - 2(1 - su)(\gamma X - (\gamma^{2} - 2)Y) + (\gamma^{2} - 2)X - \gamma(\gamma^{2} - 3)Y,$$

$$d_{5} = (1 - su)^{2}(2X - \gamma Y) - 2(1 - su)(\gamma X - 2Y) + (\gamma^{2} - 2)X - \gamma Y.$$

We now prove Proposition 4.2. Since $(s, u) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the Riley equation

$$\phi_K(s,u) = (s+s^{-1}-1-u)\frac{\xi_+^n - \xi_-^n}{\xi_+ - \xi_-} - \frac{\xi_+^{n-1} - \xi_-^{n-1}}{\xi_+ - \xi_-} = 0,$$

we have $(s+s^{-1}-1-u)X-Y=0$. The proposition then follows by direct calculations and by applying Identity (4.2), Lemma 4.3, and Identity $Y=(s+s^{-1}-1-u)X$.

To proceed, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. One has

$$X^{2} = \frac{1}{1 - (s + s^{-1} - 1 - u)\gamma + (s + s^{-1} - 1 - u)^{2}}.$$

Proof. It is easy to check that

$$X^{2} - \gamma XY + Y^{2} = \left(\frac{\xi_{+}^{n} - \xi_{-}^{n}}{\xi_{+} - \xi_{-}}\right)^{2} - (\xi_{+} + \xi_{-})\left(\frac{\xi_{+}^{n} - \xi_{-}^{n}}{\xi_{+} - \xi_{-}}\right)\left(\frac{\xi_{+}^{n-1} - \xi_{-}^{n-1}}{\xi_{+} - \xi_{-}}\right) + \left(\frac{\xi_{+}^{n-1} - \xi_{-}^{n-1}}{\xi_{+} - \xi_{-}}\right)^{2} = 1.$$
Since $Y = (s + s^{-1} - 1 - u)X$, the lemma follows.

We now complete the proof of Theorem 4.1. By Proposition 4.2, Lemma 4.4, and direct calculations, we have

$$\Delta_{K,\text{Ado}\rho}(t) = \frac{\det(I + (I - tA)\Omega(t^{-1}A^{-1} - A^{-1}B))}{\det(tB - I)}$$

$$= \frac{s(t - 1)}{(-1 + s - u)(1 - 2s + s^2 - su)(-1 + s + su)(-4s + u - 2su + s^2u - su^2)t^3} \times \{ns(-4s + u - 2su + s^2u - su^2)t^2 + (2n - 2s + 4ns - 4ns^2 - 2s^3 + 4ns^3 + 2ns^4 - su + 2s^2u - 8ns^2u - s^3u + s^2u^2 - 2ns^2u^2)t + ns(-4s + u - 2su + s^2u - su^2)\}$$

$$= \frac{t - 1}{(-2 + 1/s + s - u)(-2 + 1/s + s - 2u + u/s + su - u^2)t^3} \times \{nt^2 + \frac{1}{-4 - 2u + u/s + su - u^2}(-4n + 2n/s^2 - 2/s + 4n/s - 2s + 4ns + 2ns^2 + 2u - 8nu - u/s - su + u^2 - 2nu^2)t + n\}.$$

Theorem 4.1 follows by substituting $s+1/s=x^2-2$, $s^2+1/s^2=x^4-4x^2+2$ and u=y-2 into the above identity.

Corollary 4.5. Suppose ρ is a longitude-regular $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -representation. One has

$$\mathbb{T}_{K,\rho} = \frac{-1}{(y+2-x^2)(y^2-yx^2+x^2)} \left(\frac{(2n-1)y^2+yx^2-2nx^2(x^2-2)}{y^2-yx^2+2x^2} + 2n \right),$$

where $x = \operatorname{tr} \rho(a) = \operatorname{tr} \rho(b)$ and $y = \operatorname{tr} \rho(ab^{-1})$.

Proof. By [Ya, Theorem 3.1.2], $\mathbb{T}_{K,\rho} = -\lim_{t\to 1} \frac{\Delta_{K,\mathrm{Ad}\circ\rho}(t)}{t-1}$. Corollary 4.5 then follows from Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.6. The non-abelian Reidemeister torsion for twist knots was calculated in [DHY]. However, our formula in Corollary 4.5 is nicer.

References

- [Al] J. Alexander, Topological invariants of knots and links, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 30 (1928), no. 2, 275–306.
- [DHY] J. Dubois, V. Huynh and Y. Yamaguchi, Non-abelian Reidemeister torsion for twist knots, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 18 (2009), no. 3, 303–341.
- [DY] J. Dubois and Y. Yamaguchi, Twisted Alexander invariant and non-abelian Reidemeister torsion for hyperbolic three-dimensional manifolds with cusps, arXiv:0906.1500.
- [Du] J. Dubois, Non-abelian twisted Reidemeister torsion for fibered knots, Canad. Math. Bull. 49 (2006), no. 1, 55–71.
- [HS] J. Hoste and P. Shanahan, A formula for the A-polynomial of twist knots, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 14 (2005), no. 1, 91–100.
- [Jo] D. Johnson, A geometric form of Casson's invariant and its connection to Reidemeister torsion, unpublished lecture notes.
- [Ki] T. Kitano, Twisted Alexander polynomial and Reidemeister torsion, Pacific J. Math. 174 (1996), no. 2, 431–442.
- [KL] P. Kirk and C. Livingston, Twisted Alexander invariants, Reidemeister torsion, and Casson-Gordon invariants Topology 38 (1999), no. 3, 635–661.
- [KM] T. Kitano and T. Morifuji, Twisted Alexander polynomials for $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -irreducible representations of torus knots, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 11 (2012), 395–406.
- [Li] X. S. Lin, Representations of knot groups and twisted Alexander polynomials, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 17 (2001), 361–380.
- [Mi] J. Milnor, Whitehead torsion, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966) 358–426.
- [Po] J. Porti, Torsion de Reidemeister pour les varietes hyperboliques, vol. 128, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 612, AMS, 1997.
- [Ri] R. Riley, Nonabelian representations of 2-bridge knot groups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 35 (1984), no. 138, 191–208.
- [Tu] V. Turaev, *Introduction to combinatorial torsions*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zurich Birkhauser Verlag, Basel (2001) viii+123 pp.
- [Ya] Y. Yamaguchi, A relationship between the non-acyclic Reidemeister torsion and a zero of the acyclic Reidemeister torsion, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **58** (2008), no. 1, 337–362.
- [Wa] M. Wada, Twisted Alexander polynomial for finitely presentable groups, Topology **33** (1994), 241–256.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA $E\text{-}mail\ address$: tran.350@osu.edu